Rust's Journey to Async/Await

Steve Klabnik



Hi, I'm Steve!

- On the Rust team
- Work at Cloudflare
- Doing two workshops!



without butts, dreams dry up

@withoutboats Follows you

love and rage

.... Joined March 2015

267 Following 2,023 Followers



Followed by Rust Secure Code WG, David Tolnay, and 194 others you follow

What is async?

Parallel: do multiple things at once

Concurrent: do multiple things, not at once

Asynchronous: actually unrelated! Sort of...

"Task"

A generic term for some computation running in a parallel or concurrent system

Parallel

Only possible with multiple cores or CPUs



Concurrent

Pretend that you have multiple cores or CPUs



Asynchronous

A word we use to describe language features that enable parallelism and/or concurrency Even more terminology Cooperative vs Preemptive Multitasking

Cooperative Multitasking

Each task decides when to yield to other tasks

Preemptive Multitasking

The system decides when to yield to other tasks

Native vs green threads

Native threads

Sometimes called "1:1 threading"

Tasks provided by the operating system

Green Threads

Sometimes called "N:M threading"

Tasks provided by your programming language

Native vs Green threads

Native thread advantages:

- Part of your system; OS handles scheduling
- Very straightforward, well-understood

Native thread disadvantages:

- Defaults can be sort of heavy
- Relatively limited number you can create

Green thread advantages:

- Not part of the overall system; runtime handles scheduling
- Lighter weight, can create many, many, many, many green threads

Green thread disadvantages:

- Stack growth can cause issues
- Overhead when calling into C

Why do we care?

The C10K problem

[Help save the best Linux news source on the web -- subscribe to Linux Weekly News!]

It's time for web servers to handle ten thousand clients simultaneously, don't you think? After all, the web is a big place now.

And computers are big, too. You can buy a 1000MHz machine with 2 gigabytes of RAM and an 1000Mbit/sec Ethernet card for \$1200 or so. Let's see - at 20000 clients, that's 50KHz, 100Kbytes, and 50Kbits/sec per client. It shouldn't take any more horsepower than that to take four kilobytes from the disk and send them to the network once a second for each of twenty thousand clients. (That works out to \$0.08 per client, by the way. Those \$100/client licensing fees some operating systems charge are starting to look a little heavy!) So hardware is no longer the bottleneck.

In 1999 one of the busiest ftp sites, cdrom.com, actually handled 10000 clients simultaneously through a Gigabit Ethernet pipe. As of 2001, that same speed is now <u>being offered by several</u> <u>ISPs</u>, who expect it to become increasingly popular with large business customers.

Apache

"Pre-fork"



Apache

"worker"



Let's talk about Rust

Rust was built to enhance Firefox. which is an HTTP client, not server

 $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ C (i) https://doc.rust-lang.org/0.9/green/index.html



Search documentation...

Module green

The "green scheduling" library

This library provides M:N threading for rust programs. Internally this has the implementation of a green scheduler a allocation strategy.

This can be optionally linked in to rust programs in order to provide M:N functionality inside of 1:1 programs.

MODULES

 basic
 This is a basic event loop implementation not meant for any "real purposes" other than testing the

 context
 Context

\leftarrow \rightarrow C (i) https://doc.rust-lang.org/0.9/std/io/net/index.html



std::io

MODULES

buffered comm_adapters extensions flate fs io_error mem net pipe Search documentation...

Module std::io::net

Synchronous, non-blocking network I/O. Synchronous, non-blocking network I/O.

REEXPORTS

pub use self::addrinfo::get_host_addresses;

MODULES

addrinfo Synchronous DNS Resolution ip tcp udp unix Named pipes "Synchronous, non-blocking network I/O"

Isn't this a contradiction in terms?

	Synchronous	Asynchronous
Blocking	Old-school implementations	Doesn't make sense
Non-blocking	Go, Ruby	Node.js

Tons of options

Synchronous, blocking

- Your code looks like it blocks, and it does block
- Very basic and straightforward

Asynchronous, non-blocking

- Your code looks like it doesn't block, and it doesn't block
- Harder to write

Synchronous, non-blocking

- Your code looks like it blocks, but it doesn't!
- The secret: the runtime is non-blocking
- Your code still looks straightforward, but you get performance benefits
- A common path for languages built on synchronous, blocking I/O to gain performance while retaining compatibility

Not all was well in Rust-land

http://www.rust-lang.org/		
3,772 captures 4 Sep 2011 - 24 Jun 2019		الغليبة معر ورادر
	Docs (Nightly)	Docs (Alpha)
	Book	Book
	Reference	Reference
	API docs	API docs
	All docs	All docs

Rust is a systems programming language that runs blazingly fast, prevents almost all crashes*, and eliminates data races. Show me more! A "systems programming language" that doesn't let you use the system's threads? C (i) https://doc.rust-lang.org/0.11.0/native/index.html



Crates

ос

ena

llections

Click or press 'S' to search, '?' for more options...

Crate native experimental

The native I/O and threading crate

This crate contains an implementation of 1:1 scheduling for a "native" runtime. blocking version of I/O.

Starting with libnative

C i https://doc.rust-lang.org/0.11.0/green/index.html



Crates

.oc ena

llections

Click or press 'S' to search, '?' for more options...

Crate green experimental

The "green scheduling" library

This library provides M:N threading for rust programs. Inte switching and a stack-allocation strategy. This can be optic programs.

Architecture

In today's Rust, there is a single I/O API -- std::io -- that provides blocking operations only and works with both threading models. Rust is somewhat unusual in allowing programs to mix native and green threading, and furthermore allowing *some* degree of interoperation between the two. This feat is achieved through the runtime system -- librustrt - which exposes:

- The Runtime trait, which abstracts over the scheduler (via methods like deschedule and spawn_sibling) as well as the entire I/O API (via local_io).
- The rtio module, which provides a number of traits that define the standard I/O abstraction.
- The Task struct, which includes a Runtime trait object as the dynamic entry point into the runtime.

In this setup, libstd works directly against the runtime interface. When invoking an I/O or scheduling operation, it first finds the current Task , and then extracts the Runtime trait object to actually perform the operation.

Not all was well in Rust-land

GitHub, Inc. [US] https://github.com/rust-lang/rfcs/blob/master/text/0230-remove-runtime.md

Summary

This RFC proposes to remove the *runtime system* that is currently part of the standard library, which currently allows the standard library to support both native and green threading. In particular:

- The libgreen crate and associated support will be moved out of tree, into a separate Cargo package.
- The librustrt (the runtime) crate will be removed entirely.
- The std::io implementation will be directly welded to native threads and system calls.
- The std::io module will remain completely cross-platform, though *separate* platform-specific modules may be added at a later time.
Rust 1.0 was approaching

Ship the minimal thing that we know is good

Rust 1.0 was released!

... but still, not all was well in Rust-land



People want to build network services in Rust

Rust is supposed to be a high-performance language

Rust's I/O model feels retro, and not performant The big problem with native threads for I/O

CPU bound vs I/O bound

CPU Bound

My processor is working hard

The speed of completing a task is based on the CPU crunching some numbers

I/O Bound

Doing a lot of networking

The speed of completing a task is based on doing a lot of input and output

When you're doing a lot of I/O, you're doing a lot of waiting

When you're doing a lot of waiting, you're tying up system resources

Go

Asynchronous I/O with green threads

(Erlang does this too)



Native vs Green threads

ge

- Part of your system; OS handles scheduling
- Very straightforward, well-understood

Native thread disadvantages:

- Defaults can be sort of heavy
- Relatively limited
 create

• Not part of the overall system; runtime handles scheduling

hready dvantages:

en i

• Lighter weight, can create many, many, many green threads

Green thread disadvantages:

- Stack growth can cause issues
- Overhead when calling into C

A "systems programming language" that has overhead when calling into C code? Luckily, there is another way

Nginx

Asynchronous I/O





Ryan Dahl: Original Node.js presentation

166,252 views

Evented I/O requires non-blocking APIs

Blocking vs non-blocking

Using the File System module as an example, this is a synchronous file read:

```
const fs = require('fs');
const data = fs.readFileSync('/file.md'); // blocks here until file is read
```

And here is an equivalent **asynchronous** example:

```
const fs = require('fs');
fs.readFile('/file.md', (err, data) => {
    if (err) throw err;
});
```

"Callback hell"

callbackhell.com

Callback Hell

A guide to writing asynchronous JavaScript programs

What is "callback hell"?

Asynchronous JavaScript, or JavaScript that uses callbacks, is hard to get right intuitively. A lot of code ends up looking like this:

```
fs.readdir(source, function (err, files) {
 if (err) {
    console.log('Error finding files: ' + err)
 } else {
    files.forEach(function (filename, fileIndex) {
      console.log(filename)
      gm(source + filename).size(function (err, values) {
       if (err) {
          console.log('Error identifying file size: ' + err)
       } else {
          console.log(filename + ' : ' + values)
          aspect = (values.width / values.height)
          widths.forEach(function (width, widthIndex) {
           height = Math.round(width / aspect)
            console.log('resizing ' + filename + 'to ' + height + 'x' + height)
            this.resize(width, height).write(dest + 'w' + width + '_' + filename, function(err) {
             if (err) console.log('Error writing file: ' + err)
            })
          }.bind(this))
     })
    })
})
```

A **Promise** is a proxy for a value not necessarily known when the promise is created. It allows you to associate handlers with an asynchronous action's eventual success value or failure reason. This lets asynchronous methods return values like synchronous methods: instead of immediately returning the final value, the asynchronous method returns a *promise* to supply the value at some point in the future.

A Promise is in one of these states:

- pending: initial state, neither fulfilled nor rejected.
- fulfilled: meaning that the operation completed successfully.
- rejected: meaning that the operation failed.

Promises

```
let myFirstPromise = new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
    setTimeout(function(){
        resolve("Success!");
    }, 250);
});
myFirstPromise.then((successMessage) => {
        console.log("Yay! " + successMessage);
});
```

Promises

```
let myFirstPromise = new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
   setTimeout(function(){
    resolve("Success!");
 }, 250);
});
myFirstPromise.then((successMessage) => {
   console.log("Yay! " + successMessage);
}).then((...) => {
   11
}).then((...) => {
 ||
});
```

Your Server as a Function

Marius Eriksen

Twitter Inc. marius@twitter.com

Abstract

Building server software in a large-scale setting, where systems exhibit a high degree of concurrency and environmental variability, is a challenging task to even the most experienced programmer. Efficiency, safety, and robustness are paramount—goals which have traditionally conflicted with modularity, reusability, and flexibility.

We describe three abstractions which combine to present a powerful programming model for building safe, modular, and efficient server software: Composable *futures* are used to relate concurrent, asynchronous actions; *services* and *filters* are specialized functions

- **Services** Systems boundaries are represented by asynchronous functions called *services*. They provide a symmetric and uniform API: the same abstraction represents both clients and servers.
- **Filters** Application-agnostic concerns (e.g. timeouts, retries, authentication) are encapsulated by *filters* which compose to build services from multiple independent modules.

Server operations (e.g. acting on an incoming RPC or a timeout) are defined in a declarative fashion, relating the results of the (possibly many) subsequent sub-operations through the use of fu Aaron Turon Archive Feed

Zero-cost futures in Rust

11 Aug 2016

One of the key gaps in Rust's ecosystem has been a strong story for fast and productive *asynchronous I/O*. We have solid foundations, like the mio library, but they're very low level: you have to wire up state machines and juggle callbacks directly.

We've wanted something higher level, with better ergonomics, but also better *composability*, supporting an ecosystem of asynchronous abstractions that all work together. This story might sound familiar: it's the same goal that's led to the introduction of *futures* (aka promises) in many languages, with some supporting *async/await* sugar on top.

A major tenet of Rust is the ability to build zero-cost abstractions, and that leads to one additional goal for our async I/O story: ideally, an abstraction like futures should compile down to something equivalent to the state-machine-and-callback-juggling code we're writing today (with no additional runtime overhead).

Futures 0.1

```
pub trait Future {
  type Item;
  type Error;
  fn poll(&mut self) -> Poll<Self::Item, Self::Error>;
}
id_rpc(&my_server).and_then(|id| {
    get_row(id)
}).map(|row| {
    json::encode(row)
}).and_then(|encoded| {
    write_string(my_socket, encoded)
})
```

Promises and Futures are different!

- Promises are built into JavaScript
- The language has a runtime
- This means that Promises start executing upon creation
- This feels simpler, but has some drawbacks, namely, lots of allocations

- Futures are not built into Rust
- The language has no runtime
- This means that you must submit your futures to an executor to start execution
- Futures are inert until their poll method is called by the executor
- This is slightly more complex, but **extremely** efficient; a single, perfectly sized allocation per task!
- Compiles into the state machine you'd write by hand with evented I/O

Futures 0.1: Executors

```
use tokio;
fn main() {
    let addr = "127.0.0.1:6142".parse().unwrap();
    let listener = TcpListener::bind(&addr).unwrap();
    let server = listener.incoming().for_each(|socket| {
        Ok(())
    })
    .map_err(|err| {
        println!("accept error = {:?}", err);
    });
    println!("server running on localhost:6142");
   tokio::run(server);
}
```

We used Futures 0.1 to build stuff!

The design had some problems

Futures 0.2

```
trait Future {
   type Item;
   type Error;
```

```
fn poll(&mut self, cx: task::Context) ->
Poll<Self::Item, Self::Error>;
}
```

No implicit context, no more need for thread local storage.

aturon rust 🥕 36 points · 1 year ago

Would you suggest that the ecosystem goes through two breaking changes (now and for 0.3) or should libraries like Tokio maintain support for both 0.1 and 0.2 and then have a single breaking change when 0.3 is released.

The latter. I consider 0.2 a "snapshot" that's good for experimentation but shouldn't be used heavily, since stable 0.3 should be coming in a couple of months or less.

Give Award Share Report Save

- sdroege_ 28 points · 1 year ago
- This seems to be counterproductive. If you want people to experiment with the changes they need their dependencies using futures 0.2. Otherwise any experimentation would only be possible for completely standalone things and not even on top of tokio or hyper, and that would limit the amount of feedback you get a lot. Especially with regards to usability.
aturon rust 🎤 36 points · 1 year ago

Would you suggest that the ecosystem goes through two breaking changes (now and for 0.3) or should libraries like Tokio maintain support for both 0.1 and 0.2 and then have a single breaking change when 0.3 is released.

The latter. I consider 0.2 a "snapshot" that's good for experimentation but shouldn't be used heavily, since stable 0.3 should be coming in a couple of months or less.

Give Award Share Report Save



- sdroege_ 28 points · 1 year ago
- This seems to be counterproductive. If you want people to experiment with the changes they need their dependencies using futures 0.2. Otherwise any experimentation would only be possible for completely standalone things and not even on top of tokio or hyper, and that would limit the amount of feedback you get a lot. Especially with regards to usability.

Async/await

```
// with callback
request('https://google.com/', (response) => {
 // handle response
})
// with promise
request('https://google.com/').then((response) => {
  // handle response
});
// with async/await
async function handler() {
  let response = await request('https://google.com/')
  // handle response
}
```

Async/await lets you write code that feels synchronous, but is actually asynchronous

Async/await is more important in Rust than in other languages because Rust has no garbage collector

Rust example: synchronous

fn read(&mut self, buf: &mut [u8]) -> Result<usize, io::Error>

```
let mut buf = [0; 1024];
let mut cursor = 0;
```

```
while cursor < 1024 {
    cursor += socket.read(&mut buf[cursor..])?;
}</pre>
```

Rust example: async with Futures

```
fn read<T: AsMut<[u8]>>(self, buf: T) ->
    impl Future<Item = (Self, T, usize), Error = (Self, T, io::Error)>
```

... the code is too big to fit on the slide

The main problem: the borrow checker doesn't understand asynchronous code.

The constraints on the code when it's created and when it executes are different.

Rust example: async with async/await

```
async {
   let mut buf = [0; 1024];
   let mut cursor = 0;
   while cursor < 1024 {
      cursor += socket.read(&mut buf[cursor..]).await?;
   };
   buf
}</pre>
```

async/await can teach the borrow checker about these constraints.

Not all futures can error



fn poll(&mut self, cx: task::Context) ->
Poll<Self::Item, Self::Error>;

std::future

```
pub trait Future {
    type Output;
```

```
fn poll(self: Pin<&mut Self>, cx: &mut Context)
-> Poll<Self::Output>;
}
```

Pin is how async/await teaches the borrow checker.

If you need a future that errors, set Output to a Result<T, E>.

... but one more thing...

What syntax for async/await?

async is not an issue

JavaScript and C# do:

await value;

But what about ? for error handling?

await value?;

```
await (value?);
(await value)?;
```

What syntax for async/await?

What about chains of await?

```
(await (await value)?);
```

We argued and argued and argued and argued and argued and ar...



What syntax for async/await?

```
async {
   let mut buf = [0; 1024];
   let mut cursor = 0;
   while cursor < 1024 {
       cursor += socket.read(&mut buf[cursor..]).await?;
   };
   buf
}
// no errors
future.await
// with errors
future.await?
```

... there's actually even one last issue that's popped up

... this talk is already long enough

Additional Ergonomic improvements

use runtime::net::UdpSocket;

```
#[runtime::main]
async fn main() -> std::io::Result<()> {
    let mut socket = UdpSocket::bind("127.0.0.1:8080")?;
    let mut buf = vec![0u8; 1024];
    println!("Listening on {}", socket.local_addr()?);
    loop {
        let (recv, peer) = socket.recv_from(&mut buf).await?;
        let sent = socket.send_to(&buf[..recv], &peer).await?;
        println!("Sent {} out of {} bytes to {}", sent, recv, peer);
    }
```

WebAssembly?

```
#[wasm bindgen]
 pub fn wasm_entry(path: String, data: Data) -> Promise {
                       future to promise(async move {
                                             let path = PathBuf::from(path);
                                             let future = JsFuture::from(data.get(path.to str().unwrap()));
                                             let contents = future
                                                                   .await
                                                                    .expect("couldn't fetch page data")
                                                                    .as_string()
                                                                    .expect("couldnt get a string");
                                             let response = Response {
                                                                   body,
                                                                   status_code: 200,
                                                                   content_type,
                                              };
                                             JsValue::from_serde(&response).map_err(|_| JsValue::from_str("could be a served by a 
                        })
```

WebAssembly?



Finally landing in Rust 1.37

Or maybe 1.38

Finally landing in Rust 1.37

Or maybe 1.38



without butts, dreams dry up @withoutboats

Storm warning: comment hurricane incoming on the rust repo. We are stabilizing async/await



[Stabilization] async/await MVP · Issue #62149 · rust-lang/rust Stabilization target: 1.38.0 (beta cut 2019-08-15) Executive Summary This is a proposal to stabilize a minimum viable async/await feature, ... & github.com

7:47 AM · Jun 26, 2019 · Twitter for iPhone

29 Retweets 95 Likes

Finally landing in Rust 1.38!!!!1

J	SON serialization	Single query	Multiple queries	Fortunes	Data updates	Plaintext
Fortunes						
	Best (bar chart)	Data table	Latency	Framework ov	verhead	
			E	Best fortunes response	es per second, Test e	environment (368 tests)
	Rnk Framework		Best performance (higher is better)			
	1 actix-core		699,975 l			100.0%
	2 ■ <u>actix-pg</u>		630,441			90.1%
	3 3 atreugo-prefork-quicktemplate		435,042		62.2%	

Lesson: a world-class I/O system implementation takes years

Lesson: different languages have different constraints

Thank you!

@steveklabnik